Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

## DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

15 February 1985

Middle East Terrorism: The Threat and Possible US Responses

## Summary

Iranian-sponsored terrorism is the greatest threat to US personnel and facilities in the Middle East. Islamic radicals in Iran view Washington's presence and influence in The Middle East as major impediments to successful export of their revolution and regard terrorism as a legitimate and effective method of attacking the US. Iranian-sponsored terrorism will continue and possibly increase so long as the clerics in Tehran do not perceive any significant costs in launching such operations.

25**X**1

Syria, Libya, and Palestinian radicals also are major Middle East sponsors of terrorism. Libya regards US involvement in the region as the primary obstacle to promoting Arab military action against Israel, but is reluctant to target the US directly because it fears US retaliation. Unlike the Iranians and Libyans, Syria and the Palestinian radicals do not focus on the US as their major target, and most of their attacks are directed against their exiled political opponents, moderate Arab states, or other Palestinian groups. Syria and Libya, like Iran, use terrorism as an instrument of state policy and provide extensive support for terrorists.

25X1

This paper was prepared by the Persian Gulf Division, Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis, with contributions from analysts in NESA, Office of Global Issues, and Office of Soviet Analysis. It has been coordinated with the National Intelligence Officers for Counterterrorism, Near East-South Asia, and the USSR. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief, Persian Gulf Division, NESA

25X1

25X1

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023-3	
i''	CLFUL I	25
	Iran, Syria, and Libya are all vulnerable to US	
,	military retaliation. US strikes on terrorist camps in Lebanon's Bekaa Valley would send a strong message to all	•
	three countries, but probably also would result in additional terrorist strikes against US personnel or facilities, particularly in Lebanon. A single US strike	
	against targets in Iran or Libya also would lead to increased terrorist attacks against US personnel and	
	facilities in the Middle East, Europe, and possibly the US.	25
	Limited US strikes are unlikely to cause any of the three countries or radical Palestinian groups to modify	
	their behavior. In fact, limited strikes against Iran could strengthen Islamic radicals in the Khomeini regime.	
	Sustained US military and economic pressure in response to Iranian terrorism, however, may over the long term	
	strengthen Iranian moderates who believe violent export of the revolution does not serve Iran's interests.	
	Alternatively, sustained US pressure mightat least temporarilydrive the Iranians closer to the USSR in search of protection.	2
	Additional terrorist attacks without a commensurate US	۷.
	response probably would strengthen a growing perception in the Arab world that the US is a paper tiger. Still,	
	moderate Arabs would condemn a US strike against Palestinian elements or Syria, particularly if it killed Arab civilians,	
	and it might prompt the Saudis and other Gulf states to take demonstrable actions against US interests. The USSR would seek to take advantage of the propaganda windfall to stir up	
	Arab resentment against the US. Moscow also would offer new advanced weapons to Libya and Syria and probably would try	
	to wring political concessions from Iran in return for some arms.	2
	* * *	
Mo	otivations and Methods	
уe	Iran, Libya, Syria, and Palestinian groups were responsible for a quarter f the approximately 650 international terrorist incidents worldwide last ear. Iranian-backed groups and Palestinians are by far the most active and	2
We	Iran. Radicals in the Khomeini regime are committed to spreading their	2
to Ea nu	slamic ideology, and many clerics view terrorism as a legitimate, effective ool of state policy, particularly against the US position in the Middle ast. Iranian-backed attacks increased by about 30 percent in 1984, and the umbers killed in Iranian-sponsored attacks outpace fatalities in strikes by	
Mo	Il other terrorist sponsors. Senior Iranian leaders such as Ayatollah ontazeri, Khomeini's heir-apparent, Prime Minister Musavi, and Consultative ssembly speaker Rafsanjani are implicated in Iranian terrorism.	25
	2	

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87100434R000300250023-3  SECRE I	
•		25X
	Iran generally employs radical Lebanese or Iraqi Shia groups in its terrorist operations. These groups include:	
	The Islamic Front for the Liberation of Bahrain that was responsible for an unsuccessful coup attempt in Bahrain in 1981.	
	The Islamic Call (Dawa) Party, with branches in Iraq, Bahrain, and Kuwait, that has bombed the US Embassy and other targets in Kuwait and is responsible for bombings and assassinations in Iraq.	
	Hizballah and Husayn Musawi's Islamic Amal that operate in Lebanon and were behind the attacks on the US Embassy and the US Marine barracks as well as the more recent kidnapings of several US citizens.	·25X
	Tehran also can call on individual sympathizers worldwide, including some in the US, to mount terrorist attacks. Iran provides its surrogates with money, equipment, training, and intelligence. Moreover, its diplomats in the Middle East and Europe are involved in supporting terrorism.	 25X
	The most prominent trademark of Iranian-sponsored terrorism is the willingness of some perpetrators to die in the attempt. Iranian-sponsored Shia terrorists have carried out several car and truck bombings in Lebanon. Iraq, and Kuwait. In nearly every instance, the driver was killed.	25X
	Libya and Syria have long used terrorism as an instrument of state policy. Both have used their operatives as well as surrogates to carry out operations. Syria was seriously embarrassed in 1981 when Syrian military personnel sent to Jordan to assassinate the Prime Minister were captured and their confessions televised. In 1982, the activities of Syrian intelligence agents in France brought Damascus into sharp conflict with Paris. Libya has been more cautious, and its use of hired assassins has increased although Libyan intelligence supervises most operations.	25X
	The Syrians provide weapons, travel documents, and intelligence support for operations by their surrogate groups and permit missions by Iranian-sponsored radicals in Lebanon. Palestinian radicals, including the Abu Nidal Group, the PFLP-GC, the Fatah rebels, and Saiqa, together with Jordanian dissidents, Shia radicals associated with the Iranians, and the Armenian terrorist organization ASALA, have received Syrian assistance or training at camps in Syria and Lebanon.	25X
	Tripoli provides funds and training to radicals worldwide, but generally avoids using Libyans to carry out operations against targets other than Libyan dissidents and selected pro-US heads of state. Qadhafi hires assassins and thugs when Libyan access to its targets is limited or when he wants to conceal Libyan involvement. The most recent was the arrest of Maltese hit men in Egypt for the attempted murder of a former Libyan Prime Minister. Qadhafi also encourages terrorism by the PLO rebels and other Palestinian groups aligned with Syria. The recent mining of the Red Sea and the bombing of Omdurman, Sudan, by a Libyan TU-22 bomber, however, indicate Qadhafi is willing to use conventional military forces for subversive operations.	25X1
	The Palestinian Abu Nidal groupwhich is not a member of the PLOremains the primary Palestinian organization responsible for terrorism. The	
	3 · SECRET	

25X1

group once was supported by Iraq, which appears to have abandoned international terrorism over a year ago. Abu Nidal now depends on Syria and may undertake operations at Syrian direction. Several other radical Palestinian groups aligned with Syria also are involved in terrorist operations in Lebanon, Israel, and the West Bank. Reports from a variety of sources over the past year indicate that the PFLP-GC, the Popular Struggle Front, and Saiqa have established ties with radical Lebanese Shia and may be providing them with assistance in terrorist operations.

25X1

The eight groups within the PLO have abided by that organization's ban on international terrorism outside Israel and the West Bank since 1974. Yasir Arafat's mainstream Fatah organization is the principal enforcer of the ban and supports diplomatic means to solve the Palestinian problem. Some PLO groups, however, are providing assistance to Lebanese Shia terrorists, and Arafat is under pressure to respond to Syrian-sponsored Palestinian strikes against Fatah officials. The most striking feature of recent Palestinian terrorism has been the attacks of pro-and anti-Arafat groups on each other.

25X1

## Hitting US Targets

We do not believe the Syrians or the Palestinians would deliberately attack US targets unless US actions in Lebanon or on the Arab-Israeli issue were perceived as posing a direct, immediate threat to them. The Syrians, however, have not moved forcefully to prevent Iranian-sponsored terrorism against US targets in Lebanon. Syria condons Iranian transits through Damascus Airport and use of Syrian-controlled territory in Lebanon's Bekaa Valley for terrorist-training activities.

25X1

During the past 18 months, Libya has tried to sponsor two terrorist operations against US personnel or facilities in Latin America and Africa. Libya also has publicly praised the killing of US personnel by pro-Iranian terrorists in Lebanon. Tripoli may have provided money to the terrorists responsible for murdering the US Naval attache in Athens in late 1983, and to a Portuguese group suspected of an attempted attack on the US Embassy in Lisbon last fall. Qadhafi threatened last June that he would "export terrorism" to the US to retaliate for the "US-inspired" attack on his headquarters by dissidents last May. Libyan capabilities will be constrained by Tripoli's generally inept foreign intelligence network, its lack of an official presence in target countries, and fear of US retaliation.

25X1 25X1

Iran has amply demonstrated its willingness to hit US personnel and facilities. It is the most likely state to try to strike additional high-profile US targets in the Middle East to demonstrate the inability of the US to protect its interests, and to portray such attacks as actions by local "Islamic patriots." Potential Iranian or Libyan targets include:

-- US Embassies and associated facilities, notably in the Persian Gulf states and in Beirut, Baghdad, Cairo, Khartoum, Athens, and possibly Tunis. The Iranians and their radical Shia allies in particular might want to strike the Embassy in Beirut, to demonstrate US impotence, or Baghdad, to harm US-Iraqi relations.

. SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023-3	25X1
US military facilities in the Persian Gulf area. Examples include facilities in Bahrain or Oman supporting US warships and the US Military Training Mission in Saudi Arabia.	
Qadhafi might target US military facilities in Europe, such as the NATO cruise missile base at Comiso in Sicily or the Hellinikon airbase in Greece, to exploit local opposition to the US military presence.	
Iran and possibly Libya are likely to try to strike <u>inside the US</u> if they have been, or believe themselves about to be, attacked directly by the US.	25 <b>X</b> 1
	25X1
	29/(1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25**X**1

25**X**1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25**X**1

25X1 25X1



				•
			·	
If the US fails to anian terrorism will contains an artack gard the US as a continuate.	ontinue and very s by inaction be	likely grow. cause Iran and	The US will not e its radical Shia	
A limited or failed radicals in Tehran who all argue that the inemnot counteract the for Tehran, Iranian radical	o favor aggressi ffective nature rces of Islam.	ve export of the of the US action Given the power	he revolution. Th on demonstrates th r struggle now und	ey e US erway

Sanitized Copy Approved for	Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-	RDP87T00434R0003	00250023-3	
	JEONET			25X
		•		
Nonetheless, Iran has by heavy pressure. Tehran leaders believed a more ag believe a sustained campai Iranian terrorism would ev regime who believe that vi Iranian interests. Altern toward the Soviet Uniona	gressive US administrage of US military and entually strengthen molent export of the reatively, sustained US	sis, for example, tion had been elected accommic pressure derates within the volution does not pressure could dri	when its ted. We against Iranian serve ve Iran	25 <b>X</b> 25 <b>X</b>
Qadhafi would likely Libyan coast and offer to US. He also could attempt population in the US to be occurred in the UK last ye by the FBI. Qadhafi, howe more restrained in his res	to use supporters amon mb US air terminals or ar, although their act ver, i <u>s more cautious</u>	st operations againg the Libyan stude federal buildings vities are closely	nst the ent , as y monitored	25X
Both the Iranians and strikes to rally their dome Qadhafi is likely to offer facilities and to seek addition Moscow or Eastern Europeapons.	Moscow greater access itional Soviet arms.	to move closer to be to Libyan ports as the Iranians would	Moscow. nd military seek arms	25X <sup>-</sup>
US retaliation agains vulnerability to attacks from the stallations, such as the moderate wing of Fatah wou international terrorism if	PFLP-GC, PSF, and the Id condemn the US but i	do not target US Fatah dissidents. s not likely to <u>r</u> e	The more	25X <sup>.</sup>
Arab Reactions				
Additional terrorist a likely to strengthen a grow paper tiger. The moderate themselveswould consider demonstration of declining view US inaction as contributed interests. Syria and indicating a lack of resolvin the case of Libya, could attacks.	Arabsvulnerable to r US unwillingness or ir US influence in the re buting to the spread of d Libya also would rega ve to counter actions u	Arab world that the adical-sponsored the ability to retaliate gion. Moreover, the terrorism that the continued US in a dermining US into	ne US is a cerrorism ite as a chese states ireatens action as erests and,	25X <sup>°</sup>
Saudi Arabia Egynt :	and the smaller Gulf st	atoe nmohahlu ucul	d onitioins	
strongly any US retaliatory might only mildly criticize strikes against groups respect the Hizballah in Lebanonwildly farabs and Iraq. A geragainst an Arab or Muslim to of the US move.	y strike against Syria e strikes against Libya consible for carrying o would enjoy the unquali neral reluctance to sup	or Palestinian ele and Iran. Only s ut terrorist acts- fied private suppo port any US milita	ements but surgical US such as ort of the ery action	25X1
- S S MOTEL				20/(1
	<i>;</i>			

Sanitized Copy Approved for	or Release 2010/10/15	: CIA-RDP87T00434R00	0300250023-3	
ī	JEONET			25)
	·			
	•			
US retaliation again casualties or was viewed and other Gulf Arabs to t could include scaling bac temporarily and possibly Surgical retaliatory striadversely affect bilatera US.	by most Arabs as ex ake demonstrable ac k military or econd even recalling amba kes against Libya o	tion against the US. mic cooperation at lossadors for consulta or Iran probably would	the Saudis Such actions east tions. I not	25)
				207
concrete action tretaliatory strik themselves to rou of use of force both on poor or h	o diminish their ti e against Syria. I tine expressions of y external actors.	Arab states would to es with the US in the he NIO believes they Arab solidarity and The reaction of Irac Syriawould be even	e event of a would limit condemnation and Egypt	25)
King Hussein would s Lebanon but would associa attacks on Palestinians b Yasir Arafat to accept hi Israeli conflict.	te himself with Ara ecause this could u	ndermine his efforts	would oppose to persuade	25)
Concern about Arab c attack against Libya. Mu to "teach Qadhafi a lesso of the Red Sea last summe elements of the PLOwoul Syrian relations, Mubarak requires President Assad' even more determined to t	barak, nonetheless, n," which he himsel r. A strike agains d disturb Egyptian believes Egypt's f s cooperation. A U	f has considered sind t Syriaand even pro- leaders. Despite ch ull readmission into S attack would make	ngton's move ce the mining o-Syrian illy Egyptian- Arab fora	25>
	e Soviets would att ded by US retaliati ther attacks, seek s to undermine Arab	empt to capitalize or on. They would probate to claim credit when willingness to gran	ably issue a US strikes t US forces	25>
In Libya, the USSR we threat. The Soviets probgreater aid for Libyan ai efforts require greater Smight be more willing to fighter aircraft.	ably would offer in r and coastal defer oviet access to Lib	ses while implying thy yan military faciliti	support and nat such ies. Moscow	25 <i>)</i> 25 <i>)</i>
Moscow would hope a differences and hinder Arthur USSR might step up sh signal the Arab world and ally. The Soviets, however	afat's pursuit of a ipments of advanced the US that Moscow er, are likely to c	joint peace effort weaponry to Syria in solidly backs its prontinue avoiding a sp	with Jordan. I an effort to Timary Arab	)EV
commitment to intervene m	ilitarily if Syria	is threatened.		25 <b>X</b>

San	itized Co	ору А	pproved	for Release	e 2010/1	0/15:	CIA-RE	P87T	00434F	000300	25002	3-3
					JELL							

25X1

The USSR would seek to use heightened US-Iranian tensions to make political inroads in Tehran. The Soviets might offer to provide air defense equipment and to strengthen Iran's coastal defense but would expect major political concessions in return. They would move cautiously because anything more than symbolic aid for Iran could jeopardize their stake in Iraq. Moscow thus would take this risk only if it calculated its political prospects in Iran were promising and would emphasize to Baghdad that it was acting solely in response to US actions and would not help Iran in its war with Iraq.

25X1 25X1

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/10/15 : CIA-RDP87T00434R000300250023-3	
•	; /	
	SUBJECT: Middle East Terrorism: The Threat and Possible US Responses	
	NESA M 85-10040X	
	Distribution:  1 - DCI  1 - DDCI  1 - DCI/SA/IA  1 - Executive Director  1 - Executive Registry  1 - DDI  1 - NIO/NESA  1 - NIO/USSR  1 - NIO/CT  1 - C/PES  1 - D/OGI  1 - D/SOVA  1 - D/SOVA  4 - CPAS/IMD/CB  2 - NESA/PPS  6 - NESA/PG/I	

(15 Feb 85)

DDI/NESA/PG/I

25X1

25X1